



SJMS 2022

CLIMATE CHANGE AFFECTS CULTURAL HERITAGE: A LITERATURE REVIEW

Merry Owary

Faculty `LNC College, Murshidabad, India

Abstract: After a dominant concern of Anthropology, culture- environment relationship or nature- society interface, in the recent years has tended to be relegated to the borders of anthropological discussions, as post-modernism and culturalism viewpoints have dominated the centre stage of theoretical expansions in the social sciences usually (Descola and Pálsson, 1996). It has been pointed out that the condition is, though, changing again, as anthropologists are progressively returning to the study of culture- environment matters, but with new viewpoints.

Keywords: Culture, Environment, Relationship, Nature, Society, Livelihood

INTRODUCTION

There are a amount of explanations why this theme is nowadays in the lead of the public agenda, one of them being the ongoing changes in the culture-environment connection, with anthropologists getting an chance to use their capability to address debated environmental matters such as the instruments of a sustainable mode of livelihood in non-industrial societies, the scope and position of indigenous. or traditional information and methods of resource management, the ideological fundamentals of conservationist movements, the challenges coming up in the wake of climate change etc. The challenge before Anthropology is not only to hold the world of man but also that part of the world with which human's network.

CULTURE-ENVIRONMENT: RELATIONSHIP

Environmental or Ecological Anthropology holds within its realm, the study of the compound relations between persons and their environments. The environment denotes not just too biophysical context, but also to human communication with, and clarification of that context which is culturally perceived; the environment, consequently, is not just a set of things to which people adjust, but also a set of ongoing relations of mutual version between culture and material context. Studies deal in any way with man-environment relatives are labelled 'ecological'. However, as such, a broad range is quite unsuccessful in guiding inquiry; he felt that it is relevant to start with; a workable meaning that must begin with what ecology is not. Ecology is usually unspoken as the study of relations between creatures and their environment. Here pointed out that it is not equivalent to environment, though it is frequently used in this sense. It does not, in any strict sense, refer to the unexpected significances of many of man's activities, as in the phrase 'ecological disaster'; neither it is identical with preservation, barely construed. Here preferred to define ecology as the study of entire assemblages of living organisms and their physical milieus, which together constitute integrated systems. This definition view has the advantage of providing a outline that includes the study of all type.

CULTURAL ECOLOGY

During the 1950s, scholars saw one of the seminal works concerning the relationship between culture and environment, which remains to this day, a bequest that informs the leading stream of interactional-analytical thinking on this issue. This was Steward's elementary notion of 'adaptive interaction', which is the basis for his Cultural Ecology. His theory of Cultural Ecology stands middle between the deterministic and possibility location and refers to 'a reciprocal or interactional phrasing of man-environment relations which assumes that neither man nor environment is essentially dominant. Developed Cultural Ecology as a outline for causal clarification of cultural differences and resemblances. ecological thoughts and environmental effects as a remedy to cultural relativism and 'the fruitless assumption that culture comes from culture' that pervaded Anthropology in the first half of this century. The opinion of Cultural Relativism is based on the premise that it is culture that interprets the data of human experience by drawing the distinguishing lines and that the reaction to habitat varies among peoples who live in a single natural location but whose cultures differ.

CONCLUSION

Furthermore, there are synergisms that happen between numerous parameters, leading to direct and indirect, immediate and long-term, things on a wide variety of materials, objects, buildings, and sites. In conclusion, this review can be used to inform risk and susceptibility valuations of cultural heritage sites to climate change, which are now attractive more pressing in view of the inevitable task of version, mostly in the light of the recent ICOMOS' climate and ecological emergency declaration calling for urgent action to protect cultural heritage from the influences of climate change. Further research is optional in regions with a paucity of research, for example, Asia, Africa, and Central and South America, and in estimating doubts in climate change impacts on cultural heritage at the local scale to provide examples of potential uncertainties and dependability, as well as on if best practice information and tools to manage cultural inheritance in a changing climate.

REFERENCES

- [1] Eom, K., Kim, H. S., Sherman, D. K., and Ishii, K. (2016). Cultural variability in the link between environmental concern and support for environmental action. *Psychol. Sci.* 27, 1331–1339. doi: 10.1177/0956797616660078
- [2] Gifford, R., and Nilsson, A. (2014). Personal and social factors that influence pro-environmental concern and behaviour: a review. *Int. J. Psychol.* 49, 141–157. doi: 10.1002/ijop. 12034
- [3] Ackermann, F., C. Eden, and S. Cropper. 1993-1996. *Decision Explorer: Getting Started with Mapping*. University of Strathclyde, Scotland.
- [4] Agar, M. 1980. *The Professional Stranger: An Informal Introduction to Ethnography*. Academic Press, New York, NY.
- [5] American Demographics, Inc. 1986. *Guide to Demographic Data Sources*. Ithaca, NY.
- [6] Ames, S., ed. 1993. *A Guide to Community Visioning: Hands On Information for Local Communities*. Oregon Visions Project. Oregon
- [7] Babbie, E. 1995. *The Practice of Social Research*. 7th ed. Wadsworth Publishing Company, Belmont, CA.
- [8] Backstrom, C.H., and G. Hursh-Cesar. 1981. *Survey Research*. 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.

- [9] Balachandran, M., and S. Balachandran, eds. 1990. State and local Statistical Sources: 1990-1991. Gale Research, Inc., Detroit, MI.
- [10] Bear, D. 1994. Public Participation in Environmental Decisions. Division for Public Services, American Bar Association, Washington, DC.
- [11] Beehler, G., B. McGuinness, and J. Vera. 2001. Polluted fish, sources of knowledge and the perception of risk: contextualizing African-American anglers' sport fishing practices. *Human Organization* 60:3, Fall.
- [12] Berdi, D.R., and J.F. Anderson. 1974. Questionnaire Design and Use. Scarecrow, Metuchen, NJ.
- [13] Bernard, H.R. 1995. *Research Methodology in Anthropology: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*. 2nd ed. AltaMira Press, Walnut Creek, CA.